

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,792	01/17/2001	Jurgen Hofkens	Q62158	4947
7590 10/28/2004			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,			NGUYEN, CUONG H	
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20037-3213		3661	<del></del>
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/760,792	HOFKENS, JURGEN				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2004 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application in the same of the sa	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been con the Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered ber raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1,4,9 and 10.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
☑ The drawing correction filed on <u>17 January 2001</u> is a)☑ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	•	0				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) CUONG H. NGUYEN Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3661 Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the only amended word (changing from "a category" to "an economic value" in independent system claim 1 is considered as a non-functional descriptive material that does not distribute to a structural change of claimed system ("an economic value" can be any value/rank/level/number .etc.).

Continuation of 10. Other: the amended word is obvious with cited references because it is a non-functional descriptive material..